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Change Healthcare Clinical Evidence Classification

References cited in the clinical content are classified according to the type of evidence presented. The class ratings, I through V, are intended to provide a classification of the evidence but are not necessarily hierarchical. Classifications appear in parentheses at the end of each reference. References followed by an (NC) are not classified; examples include pre-published research or information from government, manufacturer, laboratory, or patient education websites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Type of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>Meta-analysis, technology assessment, or systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>Observational or epidemiologic study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
<td>Evidence-based guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class V</td>
<td>Expert opinion, panel consensus, literature review, text or reference book,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>descriptive study, case report, or case series</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Class I

Class I sources synthesize the results of multiple studies. When quantitative synthesis is possible, meta-analyses can provide a more accurate estimate of the effect or association size than individual smaller studies can. A Class I study that finds insufficient evidence to support or refute an intervention (due to a lack of appropriate primary research) is inconclusive. A potential weakness of Class I studies is that they may only assess published research, potentially leaving their findings vulnerable to publication bias.
Class II

A randomized controlled trial (RCT) is an experimental study design in which subjects are randomly assigned to an intervention or a control group. An RCT is the gold standard for testing cause and effect relationships. Intention-to-treat analysis should be performed to account for missing data points.

Class III

Observational or epidemiologic studies can suggest an association between events or findings. These associations cannot be used to establish causality. Cross-sectional, cohort, and case-control studies are all used to identify possible risk factors. Cross-sectional studies are also used to determine the prevalence of a condition. Cohort studies are used to study incidence, the natural history of a condition, prognosis after a specific exposure, and associated harms. Nonrandomized controlled trials are sometimes used when randomization is impossible or unethical.

Class IV

Evidence-based guidelines are systematically developed recommendations for clinical practice. Evidence-based guidelines identify the methodology used to gather the evidence on which the recommendations are based. Usually, a grading system for both the quality of the evidence and the strength of the recommendations is provided. Guidelines that are evidence-based may also contain consensus recommendations in areas where evidence is lacking, but these recommendations are clearly identified and appropriately graded.

Class V

Class V references may be the best information in the absence of other evidence. Expert opinion, panel consensus, literature reviews, and descriptive studies (case reports or case series) are subject to significant bias. A case series with comparison to historical controls can be plagued with missing data, and data extraction inconsistencies are common. The use of historical controls does not address how the diagnosis of disease or its treatment has evolved over time with newer technologies or medication. Text book information may be out of date by the time the book is published.

Comparative Effectiveness Research (CER)

Citations are designated with the CER label as part of the evidence classification if the article cited is one of the following:

1. A clinical trial or other clinical study that directly compares two or more health care interventions for the same clinical scenario.
2. A systematic review that compares two or more health care interventions by synthesizing the research from previous clinical studies.
Bibliography


Awe et al. Impact of total disc arthroplasty on the surgical management of lumbar degenerative disc disease: Analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample from 2000 to 2008. Surgical neurology international 2011. 2:139. (V)


Barr et al. Position statement on percutaneous vertebral augmentation: a consensus statement developed by the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS) and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS), American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), American Society of Spine Radiology (ASSR), Canadian Interventional Radiology Association (CIRA), and the Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS). J Vasc Interv Radiol 2014. 25(2):171-81. (IV)

Berbari et al. 2015 Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Native Vertebral Osteomyelitis in Adults. Clin Infect Dis 2015. 61(6):e26-46. (IV)


Cohen-Inbar et al. Long-Term Results of Stereotactic Radiosurgery for Skull Base Meningiomas. Neurosurgery 2015. (V)


Froholdt et al. No difference in 9-year outcome in CLBP patients randomized to lumbar fusion versus cognitive intervention and exercises. Eur Spine J 2012. 21(12):2531-8. (III)
Grandhi et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery using the Leksell Gamma Knife Perfexion unit in the management of patients with 10 or more brain metastases. J Neurosurg 2012. 117(2):237-45. (III)


Hegmann. Low Back Disorders: Occupational medicine practice guidelines. Elk Grove Village (IL): American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM); 2011, 333-796 (IV)


Hou et al. Repeated microendoscopic discectomy for recurrent lumbar disk herniation. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2015. 70(2):120-5. (III)


Jankovic. Medical treatment of dystonia. Mov Disord 2013. 28(7):1001-12. (V)


Kalichman and Hunter. Diagnosis and conservative management of degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis. Eur Spine J 2008. 17(3):327-335. (V)


Kwan et al. Definition of drug resistant epilepsy: consensus proposal by the ad hoc Task Force of the ILAE Commission on Therapeutic Strategies. Epilepsia 2010. 51(6):1069-77. (V)


Moritani et al. Pyogenic and non-pyogenic spinal infections: emphasis on diffusion-weighted imaging for the detection of abscesses and pus collections. Br J Radiol 2014. 87(1041):20140011. (V)


Negrini et al. Recommendations for research studies on treatment of idiopathic scoliosis: Consensus 2014 between SOSORT and SRS non-operative management committee. Scoliosis 2015. 10(8):014-0025. (V)

Niranjan and Lunsford. Stereotactic radiosurgery guideline for the management of patients with intracranial arteriovenous malformations. Prog Neurol Surg 2013. 27:130-40. (IV)

North American Spine Society (NASS). Diagnosis and Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation with Radiculopathy. Burr Ridge (IL); 2012. (IV)


Sanchez-Alvarez et al. Drug-resistant epilepsy: current recommendations for diagnosis and treatment in Spain. Neurologia 2012. 27(9):575-84. (V)


Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). Diagnosis and Management of Epilepsy in Adults. CG 143. Edinburgh: SIGN; 2015. (IV)


Shimizu et al. Detection of compression vessels in trigeminal neuralgia by surface-rendering three-dimensional reconstruction of 1.5- and 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging. World Neurosurg 2013. 80(3-4):378-85. (III)


Skold et al. Five-year follow-up of total disc replacement compared to fusion: a randomized controlled trial. Eur Spine J 2013. 22(10):2288-95. (II)


Thakkar et al. Imaging the postoperative spine. Radiologic clinics of North America 2012. 50(4):731-47. (V)


Thenganatt and Jankovic. Treatment of dystonia. Neurotherapeutics 2014. 11(1):139-52. (V)


U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data; 2006. (V)


Velis et al. Recommendations regarding the requirements and applications for long-term recordings in epilepsy. Epilepsia 2007. 48(2):379-84. (IV)

Vidalhiet et al. Deep brain stimulation for dystonia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2013. 84(9):1029-42. (V)


Yashar et al. Cerebral arteriovenous malformations: issues of the interplay between stereotactic radiosurgery and endovascular surgical therapy. World Neurosurg. 2011. 75(5-6):638-47. (V)


